

# SCORE SHEET FOR EVALUATION OF THE POWER-POINT PRESENTATIONS

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR JUDGMENT

1. Ensure that every Judge has received as many number of score sheets as the total number of participants beforehand i.e. one score sheet/ candidate
2. Please read the Score sheet meticulously before you start the actual evaluation process
3. The Judgment is divided in three categories as follows-
  - Evaluation of the Content and Theme of the presentation
  - Evaluation of the ‘Quality of the Presentation Process and method itself’
  - Evaluation of the ‘Personality of the Participant’, like his/her confidence, clarity of thought, attitude etc.
4. The Judges are required to fill in the score-sheet as per directions by giving only Tick (**v**) marks in the appropriate boxes as per assessment
5. At the end, add up the numbers by noting the grade-score given at the top of the sheet in each category separately to arrive at the Total Score
6. For each candidate, there will be as many score sheets as many Judges. Preferably, staple them together as a bunch before adding up the scores
7. Finally, the Judges are required to add up the respective scores of each candidate to allocate the ‘FINAL SCORE’ to each of them and prepare the ‘FINAL SCORE SHEET’

# SCORE SHEET FOR EVALUATION OF THE POWER-POINT PRESENTATIONS

[Put (v) only in the appropriate Box]

Name/ Code/ Serial -of the Participant \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

.....Tear from here.....

ITEM	Score-00	Score-01	Score-02	Score-03	Score-04	Score-05
<b>CONTENT OF THE PRESENTED PAPER</b>						
<b>Evidence level of the content</b>	Single case/ Operative report	Case/ Operative series	Descriptive cross-sectional study	Case-control / Cohort study	Prospective analytical study	Randomized control trial
<b>Clarity of the Research-logic</b>	Self-contradictory/ Counter-productive logic	Logic of the content is weak/ dubious nature	Content is logical but confusion is obvious	Acceptable but weak in logical content	Well developed & strong logical content	Perfectly integrated logical content
<b>Audiovisual Material of the content</b>	Irrelevant, excessive / grossly inadequate and in quantity	Poor quality and/or inadequate in quantity	Sufficient in quantity but low in quality	Average in quality & quantity	Good in quality & appropriate in quantity	Excellent material in quality & quantity
<b>QUALITY OF PRESENTATION</b>						
<b>Set induction (Capacity to draw the attention of the audience)</b>	Very poor	Poor	Below average	Average	Good	Excellent
<b>Power-point presentation content</b>	Irrelevant content and/or very poor in presentation	Relevant but clumsy in presentation	Relevant & appropriate in most of the slides	Relevant & acceptable	Relevant & appropriate in all slides	Perfectly relevant & excellently presented
<b>Presentation style</b>	Very poor and unimpressive	Marginally acceptable but weak in impression	Acceptable presentation but not impressive	Average in presentation	Good & impressive	Appropriate, impressive & intelligent
<b>EVALUATION OF THE PARTICIPANT</b>						
<b>Punctuality &amp; Time-management</b>	Delay > 1 Minute OR Too early to conclude (< 50%) of allowed time	Delay < 1min and/or too hurried in conclusion	Delayed but acceptable in time-management	Punctual but ill-distributed time-management	Punctual & acceptable in time-management	Perfectly punctual & well balanced time-management
<b>Capacity to arrive at a conclusion</b>	Confused, flawed and poor to arrive at a conclusion	Weak to arrive at a conclusion, lack of conviction	Acceptable skill to arrive at a conclusion	Average skill to arrive at a conclusion	Well concluded towards the objectives	Perfectly concluded towards the objectives with due emphasis
<b>Attitude of the participant</b>	Confused, arrogant/ fumbling and shaky	Timid & shaky but methodical	Assertive but not confident	Confident & descent	Confident & methodical	Confident, well composed & integrated
<b>Ability to face the interjections</b>	Confused and poor in ability to face interjections	Marginally acceptable	Average but not focused	Average and focused	Good and focused	Intelligent, brief & to-the -point

TOTAL SCORE: \_\_\_\_\_/50 \_\_\_\_\_.

Signature & Name of the Judge: \_\_\_\_\_